



FACSIMILE

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
USA(703) 413-3000
(703) 413-2228 FACSIMILE

OBLONFAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

TO	Robert Mosser	DATE	July 22, 2008
NAME	USPTO	FAX #	571-273-4451
COMPANY/FIRM			
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	2	CONFIRM FAX:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
FROM	Sameer Gokhale	OUR REFERENCE	256785US
NAME	703-412-5936	YOUR REFERENCE	10/502,503
DIRECT PHONE #			

MESSAGE

Examiner Mosser,

Please find attached a formal interview request form for our telephone interview scheduled for Thursday, at 1 PM. If this is not the correct form that you requested, or if you have any other questions or comments, then please contact me at the above phone number.

Thanks,
-Sameer Gokhale

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

Docket No: 256785US

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/502 053 First Named Applicant: Jun Fujimoto

Examiner: Robert Mosser Art Unit: 3714 Status of Application: pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Robert Mosser (2) Sameer Gokhale (#62,618)

(3) (4)

Proposed Date of Interview: July 24, 2008 Proposed Time: 1:00 PM

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej. under 103(a)	1	Wilson and Walker	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Applicants' representatives will present that Claim 1 (and the other independent claims) patentably distinguishes over the applied art.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

7/24/2008

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

/ROBERT MOSSER/

(Examiner/SPE Signature)